

TASK ORDER EVALUATION FORM

Date: 12-20-2016

Contractor Name: Guardian Env. Svcs.

Contract Number: EP S2 15 01

Task Order Number and Site Name: A23M HOLY TRINITY CEMETERY SITE

Period of Performance: April 29, 2015 - Sep. 29, 2016

Brief Description of the Work:

VERBAL AUTHORIZATION TO INITIATE REMOVAL ACTION WORK OF RADIOLOGICAL MATERIAL DEPOSITED THROUGHOUT specific areas on a cemetery property in Lewiston, NY

Location of Work: 5401 ROBERTS AVENUE, LEWISTON, NIAGARA COUNTY, NEW YORK

Names and telephone numbers of Contractor personnel responsible for managing the task order:

GES: ~~PEIER JOHNSON (PROGRAM MANAGER 302-803-1472); KEVIN SHAVER (RESPONSE MANAGER 302-518-1910) AND FRANK RODRIGUEZ (RESPONSE Manager: 302-803-1191)~~

1. EMERGENCY RESPONSE:

If this was an emergency response action, did the Contractor's Response Manager and Field Clerk arrive on site within 6 hours of emergency response notification, unless notified otherwise or response is to Puerto Rico/U.S. Virgin Islands?

☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ Not applicable

Remarks:

THIS REMOVAL ACTION WAS A VERBAL AUTHORIZATION FROM THE ERRD DIRECTOR IN ORDER TO STABILIZE THE SITE. GES PROVIDED ALL necessary support as requested by the OSC to initiate removal action. Including an immediate site visit with the Response Manager and OSC. All personnel and equipment arrived when requested. However, 6 hour arrival was not applicable at this site.

2. EMERGENCY RESPONSE:

If this was an emergency response action, did all required personnel, equipment, and materials arrive at the site within 12 hours of emergency response notification, unless notified otherwise or response is to Puerto Rico/U.S. Virgin Islands?

☐ Yes ☐ No ☒ Not applicable

Remarks:

3. NON-EMERGENCY RESPONSE:

If this Task Order was a non-emergency response, did the Contractor ensure that all personnel, equipment, and materials arrived at the site within 48 hours of receipt of the Task Order or the start date specified in the Task Order?

☐ Yes ☐ No ☒ Not applicable

Remarks:

4. COST ACCOUNTING:

Did the Contractor, utilizing RCMS, track costs and provide accurate, complete, and timely cost accounting reports?

☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ Not applicable

Remarks:

THE FCA WAS OUT ON SITE WHEN THE REMOVAL ACTION COMMENCED. ALL COST TRACKING WAS ACCURATE AND TIMELY DESPITE THE challenges of working out of our vehicles during the initial stabilization phase.

5. COST CONTROL:

Did the Contractor display initiative in controlling overall Task Order costs?

☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ Not applicable

Remarks

THE STABILIZATION PHASE WAS TWO WEEKS IN APRIL AND WE HAVE PERFORMED SPORADIC ACTIONS SINCE THAT TIME. OVERALL COSTS have been controlled and handled properly throughout.

6. SITE SAFETY:

Was the site safety plan developed by the Contractor approved as submitted, or with only one round of revisions necessary?

☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ Not applicable

Remarks

THE SAFETY PLAN WAS DEVELOPED IN A TIMELY MANNER PRIOR TO MOBILIZATION ON APRIL 18, 2016. THIS IS a live document that is amended when necessary based on new activities.

7. ENVIRONMENTAL SAMPLING:

Did the sampling conducted by the Contractor adhere to all quality assurance, quality control and chain-of-custody procedures specified in the QAPP?

☐ Yes ☐ No ☒ Not applicable

Remarks

WESTON CONDUCTED THE ENVIRONMENTAL SAMPLING SO FAR AT THE NFB SITE. HOWEVER, GES ASSISTED IN excavating sampling pits when needed.

8. SUBCONTRACTING:

Were the Contractor's subcontract consent packages accurate and complete as submitted or required only one revision?

☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ Not applicable

Remarks

THE SUBCONTRACTING AT THE SITE HAS WENT VERY WELL. ALL PACKAGES HAVE BEEN ACCURATED AND SUBMITTED TO potential subs in a timely manner.

9. TRANSPORTATION & DISPOSAL OF HAZARDOUS WASTE:

Did the Contractor accomplish all storage, transportation, treatment, and disposal of hazardous waste in accordance with the EPA Off-Site rule and all other applicable laws and regulations?

☐ Yes ☐ No ☒ Not applicable

Remarks

EXCAVATION OF MATERIAL AND SELECTION OF A T & D CONTRACTOR HAS YET TO BE PERFORMED AT THE DATE OF THIS evaluation.

10. CERCLA OFF-SITE DISPOSAL REPORTING:

Did the Contractor submit the CERCLA Off-Site Disposal Reports for each waste stream addressed at the site within 10 calendar days after the contractor received a certificate of disposal for the final waste stream?

☐ Yes ☐ No ☒ Not applicable

Remarks

DISPOSAL HAS NOT COMMENCED.

11. ENVIRONMENTALLY PREFERABLE PRACTICES:

Did the Contractor employ environmentally preferable practices at the site?

☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ Not applicable

Remarks

I AM FAMILIAR WITH MOST OF THE GES SITE PERSONNEL AND PROGRAM MANAGER. THEY HAVE BEEN WORKING WITH EPA sites for many years and they always employ environmentally preferable practices at the sites. NFB has been no different.

Eric M. Daly
USEPA Region 02 OSC

A handwritten signature in black ink, reading "Eric M. Daly", is written over a horizontal line. A vertical line extends upwards from the end of the signature.